UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DISTRICT

JOSEPH RICHTER,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Cause No.
VS.)	
)	
JEFFREY SWATEK, THOMAS)	
VENEGONI, CITY OF MARYLAND)	
HEIGHTS)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
Defendants.)	
Serve Defendants Jeffrey Swatek & Thom	nas	
Venegoni at Maryland Heights Police Dep	partment:	
11911 Dorsett Rd., Maryland Heights, Mo	O 63043	
Serve Defendant City of Maryland Height	ts	
via City Administrator, Tracey Anderson:		
11911 Dorsett Rd., Maryland Heights, MO	O 63043	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff states:

- 1. All relevant conduct described herein occurred within St. Louis and St. Charles Counties in the State of Missouri.
- 2. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this United States District Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343. Jurisdiction may also be appropriate under 18 U.S. C. §§ 2724(a).
- 3. There is no legitimate or lawful reason why any member of the Maryland Heights Police Department would, or legally could, access private information concerning Plaintiff.
- 4. On May 6, 2018, Defendant Thomas Venegoni, acting in concert with and on behalf of Defendant Swatek, accessed private information concerning Plaintiff held by the Missouri Department of Revenue.
- 5. At the time he accessed, the information in question, Defendant Thomas Venegoni was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Defendant City of Maryland Heights.

- 6. Such information contained Plaintiff's Social Security Number, Driver's License Number, Driver's License photo, Date of Birth, Address, and other private, sensitive, and legally protected information.
- 7. After Defendant Venegoni accessed the protected information, he then provided that information to Defendant Swatek.
- 8. Defendant Swatek then viewed the protected information.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Swatek then further distributed the protected information to one or more persons, who also viewed the protected information.
- 10. At the time of the unlawful access and distribution of protected information, Plaintiff was engaged in a romantic relationship with Defendant Swatek's ex-wife.
- 11. At the time of the unlawful access and distribution of protected information, Defendant Swatek had made unfounded accusations against Plaintiff in a petition for an order of protection filed in St. Charles Missouri.
- 12. That petition for an order of protection was subsequently dismissed.
- 13. Substantially similar claims about Plaintiff were also the subject of a motion to modify custody filed by Defendant Swatek against his ex-wife.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Swatek requested that his friend and co-worker, Defendant Venegoni, obtain private information held and controlled by the Missouri Department of Revenue regarding Plaintiff.
- 15. Defendant Venegoni did obtain such protected information.
- 16. Defendant Venegoni thereafter distributed that information to Defendant Swatek.
- 17. Defendant Swatek wanted and obtained the information for personal reasons and not for any reason authorized by law.
- 18. Defendants Swatek and Venegoni intentionally violated the privacy rights of Plaintiff.
- 19. In the alternate, Defendants Swatek and Venegoni acted with a reckless disregard for the privacy rights of Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendants Swatek and Venegoni conduct described above violated 18 U.S.C. § 2721.

- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' conduct, Plaintiff was injured in the following respects:
 - a. Emotional Distress
 - b. Statutory Damages
 - c. Violation of his right to privacy

WHEREFORE, defendant requests this court enter its judgment in favor of plaintiff for such sums that are fair and reasonable as determined by a jury, statutory damages, attorney's fees, court costs, and any other remedy the court deems appropriate upon the premises.

/s/ John D. James

John D. James #61070 Attorney for Plaintiff 14 Richmond Center Court St. Peters, Missouri 63376 (636) 397-2411 Fax: (636) 397-2799

e-mail: cjlaw@charliejames.com